

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/846,950	05/01/2001	Se Jong Oh	A34175	4659	
21003 75	90 07/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			MEW, KEVIN D		
NEW YORK, 1			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			2664		
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		-1	T			
• •	Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany		//846,950 	OH; SE JONG			
Office Action Summary	-^	aminer	Art Unit			
The MAIL INC DATE of this seem		vin Mew	2664			
The MAILING DATE of this compression of the Period for Reply	nunication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thi  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu-  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). communication. rty (30) days, a reply within m statutory period will app reply will, by statute, cause of the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s	) filed on <i>04 Janua</i>	ry 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.						
, — , , ,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to resubject to resubject.	is/are withdrawn fr					
Application Papers			· .			
9) The specification is objected to b 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is objected	are: a) accepted acce	ing(s) be held in abeyance. Se required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a classical All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the prior	of: prity documents had prity documents had pries of the priority d pational Bureau (PC	ve been received. ve been received in Applicat locuments have been receiv CT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

#### Final Action

## Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's Arguments/Remarks filed on 3/31/2005 regarding claims 1-3 have been fully considered and claims 1-6 are currently pending. Claims 4-6 have been newly added by the Applicant.
- 2. Acknowledgement is made of the amended abstract regarding the objection to the specification cited in the previous Office Action. The correction is acceptable and the objection to the specification has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art, Cooper et al. (USP 6,055,430) in view of Kapanen (USP 6,792,274), and in further view of Ahn et al. (WO 99/53631) and Longoni et al. (US Publication 2001/0018345).

Regarding claim 1, Cooper discloses a method for transmitting wireless packet data in a mobile communication system, which comprises a base station (central terminal) having a call processor (central terminal comprises call manager, see col. 1, line 62) therewithin, and terminals

Art Unit: 2664

(wireless telecommunications system includes one or more service areas, each of which comprises a central terminal and subscriber terminals, see col. 5, lines 55-60 and Fig. 1; note that central terminal corresponds to base station), the method comprising the steps of:

initializing the call processor within the base station (call manager of the central terminal generates a call instance to store attributes provided by the call, col. 3 lines 66-67 and col. 4, lines 1-6), and then receiving a setup message from the terminals (central terminal receiving a call from the subscriber terminals, see col. 1, lines 62-64) and simultaneously;

generating a packet data call instance in response to the received time table assignment request message (call manager generates a call instance after receiving a call from subscriber terminals, see col. 1, lines 62-67);

Cooper does not explicitly disclose receiving a time table assignment request message from the control station, updating a time table, and transmitting a time table assignment completion message to the control station. However, Kapanen discloses a base station controller would detect the time slot allocation situation of the base transceiver stations and would indicate to the base transceiver station the identification of a free time slot to be allocated to the base transceiver station. Kapanen further discloses that the base transceiver station would inform the base station controller the connection was made so that in response to that information, the base station controller updates the allocation table such that the time slot is marked allocated to the base transceiver station in question (see col. 5, lines 15-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the wireless communication method of Cooper with the time slot allocation steps of Kapanen during call setup request by the subscriber terminals such that the central terminal of Cooper will

receive time slot assignment request from the base station controller such as the base station controller taught by Kapanen. The motivation to do so is to provide time slot allocation for the call setup request initiated by the subscriber terminals so that the central terminal can transmit the call in frames that are made up of time slots.

Cooper and Kapanen do not explicitly disclose generating a radio bursting protocol (RBP) instance. However, Ahn discloses a mobile communication system in which short burst data is transmitted using an Radio Burst Protocol (see page 1, lines 14 and page 2, lines 3-7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the wireless communication method of Cooper and Kapanen with the RBP protocol of Ahn such that the RBP protocol is used for passing control and data information between the central terminal and the subscriber terminals. The motivation to do so is to provide a lightweight automatic request (ARQ) protocol for transmitting data packets in a short burst period that improves the reliability of message delivery over shared channels.

Cooper and Kapanen do not explicitly show transmitting a time slot information message through a paging channel to the terminals, wherein the time slot information message includes time slot information indicating when each respective terminal should read a common data channel. However, Ahn discloses sending a response message that includes either an acknowledgement signal ACK or a not acknowledgement NACK signal from a base station to the mobile station on a paging channel (see page 6, lines 11-27) and have the mobile station retransmit the same SDB frame on the reverse common channel (see page 7, lines 10-14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the wireless communication method of Cooper and Kapanen with Ahn for

Application/Control Number: 09/846,950

Art Unit: 2664

transmitting messages from base station to mobile station via the paging channel. The motivation to do so is to provide a method of a RBP transmission scheme in which an SDB message is continuously transmitted on a reverse common channel/access channel until an acknowledgement response signal is received at the mobile station from the base station.

Cooper, Kapanen, Ah do not explicitly disclose transmitting packet data according to the respective RBP instance for each terminal from the base station to the terminals via the common data channel. However, Longoni et al. (US 2001/0018345) discloses transmitting packet data from a base station to each respective mobile station via a common channel FACH in the downlink direction (see entire paragraph 0038). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the wireless communication method of Cooper, Kapanen, Ahn with the cellular communication update method of Longoni such that data packets will be transmitted from a base station to a mobile station according to the RBP's SDB frame received at the base station from the mobile station. The motivation to do so is to allow use a common FACH channel to transport information identifying the mobile station fir which a given packet is intended.

Regarding claim 2, Cooper, Kapanen and Ahn discloses all the aspects of the claimed invention set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above, except fails to explicitly show the method of claim 1, wherein when transmitting the information message from the base station to the terminals, a time slot available to a common data channel is assigned to each channel by transmitting the common data channel in a time division manner. However, Cooper further discloses that a call connection for a subscriber terminal is placed on a particular time slot (see

Art Unit: 2664

col. 1, lines 40-46). Ahn also discloses transmitting short data burst frames from base station to mobile station on a common data channel (see Fig. 4B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the wireless communication method of Cooper and Kapanen with Ahn for transmitting messages in a common data channel where each available time slot of the common data channel is allocated for each call connection. The motivation to do so is to save network resources when using a common data channel for traffic from all call connections rather than using a dedicated channel for each individual call connection.

Regarding claim 3, Cooper discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising the step of transmitting, in the call processor within the base station, a response message to the setup message received from the terminals. However, Ahn discloses sending a response message from a base station to a mobile station after receiving a paging channel request message from the mobile station (see page 1, lines 19-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the wireless communication method of Cooper and Kapanen with Ahn for a base station to send a response message to the mobile station that made a channel request message to the base station. The motivation to do so is to provide an acknowledgment to the mobile station to indicate that a connection has been established between the mobile station and the base station network and time slots have been allocated for the mobile station to transmit data traffic.

Art Unit: 2664

Regarding claim 4, Ahn discloses at the call processor prior to transmitting the time slot information message, assigning time slots (setting timer T RBP) during which the terminals are to read the common data channel (T RBP is the time during which the mobile stations will keep transmitting SDB frame continuously on a reverse common channel until a positive acknowledgement is received from the base station, see page 6, lines 11-19); and recording the time slot information in the packet data call instance (recording timer T RBP for the RBP' SDB frame instance, see page 6, line 23-24 and Figs. 1A and 1B).

Regarding claim 5, Ahn discloses the method of claim 3, wherein each terminal reads the common data channel during its assigned one or more time slots (see page 6, lines 11-19).

Regarding claim 6, Cooper discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the packet data call instance comprises information regarding the terminals performing packet data communications with the base station (call manager of the central terminal generates a call instance to store attributes provided by the call, col. 3 lines 66-67 and col. 4, lines 1-6).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,950

Art Unit: 2664

Page 8

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/846,950 Page 9

Art Unit: 2664

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 703-305-5300. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 703-305-4366. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WELLINGTON CHIN
RVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

KDM Art Unit 2664